

County Community Risk Profile 2013:Kitsap County

Highest Risk Score		Risk Ranking		Risk Category Rank*		Contextual Indicators	
District	Population: Age 0-17	Risk Percentile	Risk Indicator with Data	Consumption (Alcohol)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Bremerton S.D.	8409	88	26	High	Very High	Very High	Very High
North Kitsap S.D.	10,524	73	16	Average	Average	Low	Low
South Kitsap S.D.	15,720	51	26	Average	Average	Average	Average

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Risk Percentile	Risk Indicator with Data	Consumption (Alcohol)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Central Kitsap S.D.	16,338	33	24	Low	Average	Low	Average
Bainbridge Island S.D.	5,449	9	26	Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low

This risk profile reflects the risk levels of this county as of February 2013. School districts with no high schools are not included in this summary. Please note risk levels and risk rankings may change over time.

* The alcohol consumption risk score was calculated from prevalence and frequency of underage drinking. The consequence risk score is calculated from school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health indicators.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Average" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was between 25% and 75% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

Review Considerations

1) To get a overall sense of risk severity for both consumption and consequence, examine the 'Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Risk Score LOWER than the referenced School District.

2) To ensure that the risk score is meaningful, examine the 'Indicators with data' column. Risk scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with caution. In total, 26 indicators were used.

3) To consider other contextual information, examine the 'Population: Age 0-17', "economic deprivation" indicator, and the "troubled family" indicator. Note the "Population 0-17 year olds" value will be greater than district enrollment as it accounts for kids not in school as well as those in private schools.